

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,076	01/28/2004	Hsu-Ping Tseng	025789-00006	9811
	7590 01/07/2008	EXAMINER		
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN, PLLC Suite 400 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5339			CHIEN, LUCY P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D	Washington, De 20050 5559			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	10/765,076	TSENG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lucy P. Chien	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUI 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) No e, cause the application to become	NICATION. The a reply be timely filed IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 October 2007</u> .						
, <u> </u>	,—					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 January 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ drawing(s) be held in abey tion is required if the drawi	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/16/2007. 		of Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2871

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1,3-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1,3,4,6,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakai (US 6219119) in view of Katsu et al (US 6597427).

Regarding Claim 1,6,7,

Nakai discloses (Fig. 16) a substrate (13); a least one protrusion (1) on a face of the substrate (13); and a light reflective layer (5) deposited adjacent to the protrusion (1), wherein the protrusion (arcuate) amplifies light reflection intensity (Fig. 2) when light is reflected off the light reflective layer (5).

Nakai does not disclose the reflective layer comprising programmable code information.

Katsu et al discloses (abstract and Column 8, rows 56-59) a reflective programmable code information device (identification mark).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nakai to include Katsu et al's a reflective programmable code information device motivated by the desire to accurately specify a position on a flat display (Column 3, rows 45-55).

Regarding Claim 3,

In addition to Nakai and Katsu et al as disclosed above, Katsu discloses the programmable code information comprises at least one position sensing code (Column 3, rows 45-55).

Regarding Claim 4,

In addition to Nakai and Katsu et al as disclosed above, Katsu discloses the light reflective layer (identification mark) is disposed on a surface of the light shielding layer (black matrix) (Column 6, rows 56-58).

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakai (US 6219119) and of Katsu et al (US 6597427) in view of Moon (US 6661485).

Nakai and Katsu et al disclose everything as disclosed above.

Nakai and Katsu et al do not disclose a plurality of color filters, wherein the plurality of color filters are disposed between the light shielding layer and between the light reflective layer.

Moon discloses (fig. 5) the plurality of color filter (132) is disposed between the light shielding layer (black matrix) (134) and a light reflective layer (it is known in the art that the pixel electrode can be reflective 138).

Application/Control Number: 10/765,076 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nakai and Katsu et al to include a color filter taught by Moon to provide a display that display's color light.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lucy P. Chien whose telephone number is 571-272-8579. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571)272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lucy P Chien Examiner Art Unit 2871

> ANDREW SCHECHTER FRIMARY EXAMINER